MD94-19

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL RECEIVED

JAN 1 0 1994

FCC - MAIL ROC

JAN-6-84

No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

FCC,

My Cover in a piece hile on a Ship station licenses is not one that should be taken lightly, I am a firm belieber in Safety & for strems such as Rodon and UHF marine radio's. There should not be any cost at all. if you raise the price of alicense People. will use alternation methods such as cellular phomes; Hand held C.B. Radio are Cellular phomes; thank held C.B. Radio are won't get a license beause we had won't get a license beause we had enough of the Federal Covernet taking our money. The only reason people And Covernment agurey's need more

Modely is because they don't to How to manage the money they have. I Suggest you town the price to \$ 25.00 And get rid of some of the dead weight at the FCC & start to manage your money better.

> Concerned Booker. Lou Souper

PLANNED F.CC- REGULATORY FREEDOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL F.C.C. SECRETHAYS OFFICE 1919 M. ST. N.W. WASAD.C. JAN 1 0 1994

FCC MAIL ROOM

Dear Sire:

Seem totally against having the \$35.00 fee for Syrs raised to \$70.00 and \$105.00 for 10 yrs. I have not seen or head of any improved service, such as acheer monitoring of channal 16.

Two yes ago as freel and I were stronged on the bay (outdress grobbins). I couldnot get though to My Coast Guar for aisestance, because of the illegal use of charmel 16. My friend land to call Ballinon Maine Operator to call his wife to call the Coast Suar for us. This illegal was ofthe issall gains one today.

No. of Copies rec'd Chig

baste owners that sixture stop weeker or resing it illegally.

The present fee is high enough, don't make a person't pleasure too expensere for him to engay.

Sichail Savarere 8310 Ridgely Cak Ad 21234

MD94-19

JAN 1.0 1994

E E SANTANIE / LA.

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FC 3-821.PC

Jan 4, 1994

Secretary of FCC.
Clothice of Managing Director
Tederal Communication Commission

To whom it concerns,
Many people, including es,
Will hot be happy to see a boat sofety
feature like a VHF license being
doubled.
Please de not let this happy. I

Please do not let this happen of it does take place we will be faced to drap our VIF license a marine radio.

Sincerely -

Florence & atkins

No. of Copies rec'd Lig

OPIVATERAL STECIAL S

JIMMIE D. SMITH, JR.

P.O. Box 7623 • Rocky Mount, North Carolina 27804 COPY ORIGINAL

Jm 12 11 02 m 35

HECEIVED JAN 1 0 1994

FCC - MAIL ROOM

January 5, 1994

Secretary FCC 1919 M Street Washington, DC 20554

Dear Sir,

This letter is in reference to the proposed increase in marine ship radio license fees.

I ask that you please consider a much smaller increase in regulatory fees.

Sincerely,

Jimmie D. Smith, Jr.

JDS, Jr/jh

No. of Copies rec'd

94-19 PFB

Secretary FCC
1919 MED LT

Washington, DC 20534

RECEIVED

JAN'T 0 1994

FCC - MAIL ROC 1

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Secretary,

I am writing to protest the marine radio license fee increase "mandated" by congress. It is another ill-conceived form of taxation amed at boaters. VFH radios and form of taxation amed at boaters. VFH radios and FPIRBs are important pieces of safety equipment on small boats, and every effect should be made to encourage small boats, and every effect should be made to encourage recreational boaters to have them on their boat. Increasing the license fee to \$35.00 several years ago was the first the license fee to \$35.00 several years ago was the first step in the wrong directick; doubling it makes no sense whatever.

Perhaps for millionaires who own half a million dollar boats a \$70.00 licease fee is insignificant. But, most recreational boaters are not rick; They are just common people who save and secrifice to beable to own a boat. Too many boaters and secrifice to beable to own a boat. Too many boaters do not own UHF radios or EPIRBs because there isn't money in their bodgets for them. In creasing the cost of the licease in their bodgets for them. In creasing the cost of the licease will increase the number of people who will be forced to pass up these important safety devices

I une you to waive The increase on the besis that such an increase will not promote the public interest.

Sincerely

R Jeffrey Hunty

No. of Copies rec'd Quig.

MD 94-

DOCKET FILE COPY OPICINAL

JAN 1 0 1994

F. Call Mark

Louis S. Caretti 5357 E. Hillcrest Dr. Port Orchard, WA 98366

Secretary, FCC 1919 M Street NW Washington, DC 20554

Reference: PLANNED FCC REGULATORY FEES (SHIP STATION LICENSES)

Sir:

I doubt that any displeasure on my part regarding the proposed doubling of ship station license fees will make any difference in the decision process. Accordingly, I am making plans to remove the VHF radio and EPIRB from my 42' vessel when my present license expires. Additionally, I am discontinuing the planned purchase and installation of RADAR.

While I am aware that these actions will degrade the apparent safety of my vessel under some situations, I find that principle is much more important. I will get by with "CB", ships' whistle signals, and cellular phone and the smug satisfaction that I have been able to shove at least one idiotic, unjustified government tax where it belongs.

I am not alone in my feelings. I hope that you are taking the position of transferring the extra license fees to the Coast Guard budget to absorb the additional operating costs they will encounter without the same standard of communications and safety on the water.

SE JAMESES RELIGIONS

Cho, USN (Ret).

No. of Copies rec'd lug

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FILLLIVED

JAN 1 0 100 PRIVATE RAD SPECIAL

FOO-MAIL ROC

jan 12 11 00 10 94

January 5, 1994

John Choich 12608 Blakely Pl. NW Seattle, Wa 98177

Secretary of the FCC c/o Office of Managing Director Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St. NW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Sir:

I strongly object to your plans to double the fee for VHF marine radio licenses in November. Doubling the cost of the licenses has a negative effect on boating safety and should not be imposed. The cost of government continues to escalate for no good reason other than to feed a growing and unnecessary bureaucracy. Please learn to live within your means like every other American is required to do.

Sincerely,

John Choich

John Choich

No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

MD94-19 Hollygard 90 Box 671 Shelton, Wa. 98584 I am writing to express my Concerns regarding the proposal to increase the marine, ship station license fre from 35 to 70 for a fave. Il greatly fear that doubling this fee will have a detremental

effect on marine Safety by discourage the use of marine VHF dealis and for the proper licensing of them. Their might also lead to the kind of choas that we efferienced with leaensing CB soller.

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Ila summany, I urge you in the strongest possible terms to usist The tempotion to increase the lècense fue for the marine station

Sincerely, Stayment

RECEIVED

FCC - MAIL RIDC 12 114

Secretary FCC,

year licelse.

SIVATE RADI

No. of Copies rec'd Quy,

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

MD 94-19
RECEIVED
JAN1 0 1994
FCC MAIL ROOM

Louis J Spizziri 640 Wishing Well Rd. Wyckoff, 07481

December 22,1993

FCC C/o Office of Managing Director FCC 1919 M St. NW Washington,DC 20554

Dear Sirs;

I am writing to object to the proposed additional tax of \$35.00 euphmistically referred to as a "user Fee" that is proposed to be added to the existing fee for VHF marine radio operator's licenses. Such an action is an unjustied and excessive additional tax that will have the harmful effect of disuading boat owners from purcahsing an using these radios which are extremely important for safety at sea.

Having almost thirty years of experience sailing I know from my own personal experience that these readios are vitally important safety items. It has been my experience to summon the Coast Guard to the rescue of a vessel that did not have a radio on board which had struck a rock and was foundering due to a hole in its bottom. On board were several adults and children. Due to the draft of my own vessel we could not approach the other vessel close enough to assist in any form of rescue. In addition there were no other vessels within line of sight. If we had not carried a licensed vessel there was a very strong likelihood that injury and loss of life would have occurred.

In addition on two occasions vessels I was on board lost power and without a radio we may have run aground with risk of damage or injury but were instead able to call for and obtain assistance.

ouis J. Spizziri

No. of Copies rec'd Using List ABCDE

MD 94-19

RECEIVED

GOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

1 0 1594

FOC MAIL POOM

14956 Terrace Lane
Midlothian, Illinois 60445
Jan. 7, 1994

Secretary FCC M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Gentlemen:

I am appailed to read about the proposed increase in marine radio license fee. (TAX) How is this charge going to be justified? It does not case that much to process the paper work every five years.

More important, what effect this may have on boaters having and using radio for safety purposes. This is a giant step backward in the promotion of marine safety.

It is my understanding that you have the authority to waiver the fee if such action is in the best public interest.

I wish congress would exhibit as much effort in reducing spending as they do in money raising gimmicks such as this. Don't let the pols ruin everything we have presently.

9

Frank E. Zoll

No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

PUBLIC SERVICE D